

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/465,946   | 12/17/1999                   | STUART WRIGHT        | CS1062#SP               | 9569            |
| 75   | 590 05/21/2003               |                      |                         |                 |
| BRUCE S SHAPIRO TW199 PATENT DEPARTMENT THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION |                              |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
|  |                              |                      | RACHUBA, MAURINA T      |                 |
| 701 EAST JOP<br>TOWSON, MD   | ST JOPPA ROAD<br>N. MD 21286 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| •  |                              |                      | 3723                    |                 |
|  |                              |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003 | 73              |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No. | Applicant(s)                                    |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/465,946      | WRIGHT, STUART                                  |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action   | Examiner        | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   | M Rachuba       | 3723  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |                 |   |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   |                 |   |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  |                 |   |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |                 |   |  |  |  |
| 1. ☑ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 May 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.   |                 |   |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:   |                 |   |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(a)</li></ul>   |                 |   |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |                 |   |  |  |  |
| (c) Ithey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |                 |   |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.   |                 |   |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |                 |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  | ion(s):         |   |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   |                 |   |  |  |  |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:   |                 |   |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  |                 |   |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  |                 |   |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |                 |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-17</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |                 |   |  |  |  |
| 8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is  |                 |   |  |  |  |
| 9.☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 21. See Attached  |                 |   |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |                 |   |  |  |  |
|   | AT .            | M Rachuba<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 3723 |  |  |  |





Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment to claim 17, where the blade "is mounted to pass" through the sole plate, requires further consideration under 35 USC 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs, as well as further search.

The information disclosure statement filed May 5, 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(c) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. It is noted that the form includes the statement with a box to be checked, but the box has not been checked, therefore the statement cannot be considered. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).